



UEFA Champions League 2011/2012

Round of 16, 1st leg

Referee Observation Report

(FRA) Olympique Lyonnais		1:0		APOEL Nicosia (CYP)
--------------------------	---	-----	---	---------------------

MATCH FACTS 14 February 2011 • 20:45 local time • Stade de Gerland, France • Attendance:

Function	Full Name	Origin	Final Mark*
Referee	Paolo TAGLIAVENTO	ITA	7.73
Assistant Referee 1	Renato FAVERANI	ITA	8.00
Assistant Referee 2	Luca MAGGIANI	ITA	8.00
Fourth Official	Paolo VALERI	ITA	8.50
Additional Assistant Referee 1	Luca BANTI	ITA	8.00
Additional Assistant Referee 2	Andrea DE MARCO	ITA	8.00
UEFA Delegate	Teuvo HOLOPAINEN	FIN	
UEFA Referee Observer	Juan Antonio Fernandez MARIN	ESP	
BLOG Referee Observer	FILIPE T.	POR	

* Remark: The final marks presented in this ROR have been awarded by the respective BLOG Referee Observer and do not pose an official UEFA evaluation of the respective match official's performance. THE FINAL MARKS OF THE REFEREE CANNOT BE COMPARED TO THE OTHER OFFICIALS' ONES, AS THEY ARE RESULTING FROM DIFFERENT EVALUATION SCALES!

Evaluation scale (just giving a short impression of how the officials' performances were):

Mark	Description
8,5-10,0	Excellent performance
7,7-8,4	Very good performance
7,0-7,6	Good performance
6,4-6,9	Still a solid performance
5,5-6,3	Error-phone performance
4,5-5,4	Defective performance
1,0-4,4	Inacceptable



































Description of the match (including field conditions, weather conditions, match background and importance and difficulty):

Easy match to handle. Pitch and weather conditions were good. Low intensity match with no big situations to solve.

The parameter match difficulty has been evaluated with the mark: (5,0 is minimum: easy, 6,0: easy match with some difficult situations, 7,0 intense match with several situations to solve, 8,0 difficult match with many situations to solve, 9,0-10,0 very difficult, heavy work!, all this is based on the perception of the observer)

5.0

LINEUPS

 Lyon		 APOEL	
1	 Hugo Lloris (GK)	22	 Dionisios Chiotis (GK)
3	 Chris (C)	3	 Paulo Jorge
4	 Bakary Koné	4	 Kaká
6	 Kim Källström	7	 Savvas Poursaltides
9	 Lisandro	8	 Alton 
10	 Ederson 	10	 Constantinos Charalambides (C) 
11	 Michel Bastos	11	 Ivan Trifković
13	 Anthony Réveillère	23	 Hélio Pinto 
17	 Alexandre Lacazette  	26	 Nuno Morais
20	 Aly Cissokho	31	 Helder Sousa 
21	 Maxime Gonalons	98	 William Boaventura
Substitutes			
30	 Rémy Vercoutre (GK)	78	 Urko Pardo (GK)
8	 Yoann Gourcuff 	9	 Esteban Solari 
14	 Mouhamadou Dabo	17	 Marinos Satslas
15	 Gueïda Fofana	21	 Gustavo Manduca 
18	 Bafétimbi Gomis	29	 Nektarios Alexandrou
19	 Jimmy Briand 	77	 Athos Solomou
43	 Samuel Umtiti	81	 Marcinho  
Coach			
Rémi Garde (FRA)		Ivan Jovanović (SRB)	

* <http://pt.uefa.com/uefachampionsleague/season=2012/matches/round=2000264/match=2007665/index.html>

Referee

1. Control

Evaluation scale (only full or half points can be given (e.g. 8,0 or 7,5):

Description	Marks
The match was under the referee's full control all the time, a) even though it unfolded many situations which have been difficult to solve (e.g. upcoming riots...) or b) as the referee's style and personality led to an easy-going match without bigger problems for the referee.	9,0-10,0
The match was under the referee's full control and did not exhibit so many difficult situations to solve	8,0-8,5
The match was difficult and for this reason, there was – every now and then – a small but visible lack of control (e.g. that he sometimes allowed too many protests on his decisions or that he concentrated slightly too much on issuing cards to gain control.)	6,5-7,5
The referee a) showed many weaknesses in the area of controlling the match (e.g. often allowed too many protests on his decisions and only hid behind his cards to have control) which [the match] did not challenge the referee too much or b) did not have any control at all in an extremely difficult match .	5,0-6,0
The referee did not have control at all in an easy match . He perhaps even made it more difficult than it would have been possible.	1,0-4,5

Comment in words (optional):

Was an easy match to handle. Tagliavento judge everything just fine and he was always in control. Some clear yellows missed, but the wide criteria he adopted can justify that partially.

In the parameter "Control", the referee has been (therefore) evaluated with the mark:

8.5

Remarkable situations one may focus on (optional):

Minute	Description of the situation and evaluation of the referee's behaviour
45+1	No added time in first half. Apoel is on the offensive and Tagliavento awards a free kick. However he goes back in the decision and sends the teams to half time break.

Referee

2. Calls

Evaluation scale (all the criteria is subject to the observer's feeling + perception, the following measures can be taken into consideration, but there is no need to apply them, simply mark the evaluation areas with X):

Description	Evaluation				
	++	+	0	-	--
Measures Foul Detection (also dives etc) (++ all the (non-)fouls have been recognized correctly, + most of the (non-)fouls have been recognized, only minor mistakes in this area, 0 decent foul detection, the referees has missed several of (non-)fouls, - inconsistent foul detection with many missed (non-)fouls that influenced the match, whistled either by far too many or by far too less fouls, - - unacceptable) of course all the calls in this field have to be weighn up in order to come to a final evaluation!	X				
Disciplinary Management, note: all the cards have to be listed in the last grid (++ the disciplinary management has been excellent, the referees found the right balance between card and no-card, he booked players if necessary with regard to the laws of the game, + overall, the disciplinary management has been good, most of the (non-)given cards were adequate, the referee perhaps missed a yellow card or gave a wrong one, 0 there is a lot of room for improvement concerning this field, the referee revealed a lack of consistency in his card policy, missed more than one yellow or gave more than one that was wrong or unnecessary, - poor disciplinary management, no consistency, the faulty card policy potentially led to difficulties, the referee perhaps missed a clear red card so that the card policy did not correspond at that moment to the laws of the game, - - unacceptable) = of course all the calls in this field have to be weighn up in order to come to a final evaluation!			X		
Match Influencing Decisions (penalties, decisions immediately before a goal has been scored...) note: MIDs have to be listed in the Comment and the last grid (optional, if no MID had to be taken, this parameter may remain empty)	-	-	-	-	-
Advantage Rule (++ if possible, the referee applied the advantage rule in a very adequate way so that the play could flow [optional: and booked (a) player(s) for the original foul afterwards], + if possible, he applied it, sometimes a free kick or an advantage would have been better, a) no advantages to be applied or b) only a decent advantage rule applying, - did not pay much attention to the advantage rule and potentially even stopped promising goal attempts, - - unacceptable, potentially even avoided goals by not paying attention to the advantage rule)	X				
Additional Time adequate?		X			

Comment on the application of the Laws of the Game

Overall was a good performance. The laws of the game were applied in a correct manner during all match. Concerning foul detection the team was in a pretty good level, missing just a couple of fouls. About disciplinary management he was ok, however some situations were not solved in the most adequate manner. No match influencing mistake was detected;

Remarkable situations and important calls one may focus on (necessary) like all the cards, crucial fouls or overseen fouls, match influencing decisions like penalties :

Minute	Description of the situation and evaluation of the referee's behaviour
2'	Good non-penalty call.
8'	Good free-kick foul near the area, however YC missed against APOEL.
12'	Foul on the APOEL GK. The foul is inexistent.
37'	Foul. Correctly detected. Missed YC for LYON no21.
52'	Correct YC.
53'	Foul missed for LYON. Probably dangerous free kick not awarded.
86'	Correctly awarded the free kick. Missed YC. Foul to the support foot of the team attacking. No intention to reach the ball. YC missed against APOEL.
89'	Correct YC.

In the parameter "Calls", the referee has been (therefore) evaluated with the mark:

7.5

Referee

3. Physical aspects and style

Comment on the tactical approach of the referee, his personality in the pitch and also physical aspects. Might also contain general circumstances the observer has come across with.

Good approach to the match and great physical condition.

Overview

Description	Evaluation		
	+	Expected level	-
<i>Positioning, movement and physical condition</i>			
Good positioning, always close to the play, follows play at all times and does not interfere with play and enters the penalty area when necessary		X	
Flexible diagonal system (movement in the pitch)		X	
Able to anticipate situations and the action		X	
Efficient positioning (at set pieces or dead ball e.g.)		X	
Physical Condition	X		

Description	Evaluation		
	+	Expected level	-
<i>Tactical approach (style) and personality in the pitch</i>			
The referee has a style that fits to the match and its background, is able to adapt the style during the match and shows a consistent line which can be understood by the players.		X	
His style is moving within the borderlines the Laws of the Game set.		X	
Communication		X	
Clear and respectful gestures		X	
Shows respect towards the players in general, the referee is one the same level like the players		X	

In the parameter “Physical aspects and style”, the referee has been (therefore) evaluated with the mark:

8.0

Referee

4. Neutrality

Description	Evaluation		
	+	Expected level	-
Neutrality	+	Expected level	-
The referee is in general neutral.		X	
The referee does not tend to prefer teams with bigger names or a higher reputation.	X		
The referee makes decisions independent from previous ones and does not make them due to compensative reasons.	X		
No match influencing mistake wronged a certain team (it need not necessarily be the referee's intention)	X		

In the parameter "Neutrality", the referee has been evaluated with the mark:

8.5

Referee

5. Final Mark

Parameter	Percentage	Mark
Control	25 %	8.5
Calls	30 %	7.5
Physical aspects and style	25 %	8.0
Neutrality	10 %	8.5
Match difficulty (page 1)	10 %	5.0
	Final mark	7.73

Assistant Referee 1

Brief comment on the degree of occupation and difficulty the assistant referee 1 had to cope with. Remarkable situations should be mentioned with reference to the minute [in brackets].

Easy match. Rare situations to handle.

Overview

Description	Evaluation		
	+	Expected level	-
<i>Positioning and technical aspects</i>			
Good positioning and movement		X	
Adequate alertness, psychological concentration as well as awareness and good cooperation with the referee as long as situations appeared in the AR1's area of responsibility (reaction to incidents within his vicinity)		X	
Efficient positioning and control in difficult circumstances (dead ball, no-look-passes e.g.)		X	
Holds and moves his flag in an adequate way and in accordance with the Laws of the Game (Law 11, FIFA Laws of the Game p. 90/91)		X	
Physical Condition		X	

Description	Evaluation		
	+	Expected level	-
<i>Offside and foul detection</i>			
Correct offside decisions and good application of the "wait and see" technique (+ should be only awarded if the offsides have been very difficult – contrary movements e.g. – or if there has been a large amount of offsides or if certain (one) offside decision(s) has been of great importance for the outcome of the match)		X	
Supports the referee with a good foul detection in his area of responsibility		X	

Remarkable decisions

Minute	Description of the situation and evaluation of the assistant referee's behaviour
22'	Good off-side call.
53'	Missed foul in favor of LYON.

Evaluation scale (only full or half points can be given (e.g. 8,0 or 7,5), the observer can take the scale as measure, there is however no need to do so:

Mark	Description
8,5-10,0	The assistant referee showed an excellent performance in all the criteria in a match which challenged him a lot.
7,5-8,0	The assistant referee a) showed an excellent performance in a match that revealed several challenges for the assistant referee or b) made excellent decisions in a not so easy match but showed some room of improvement in technical areas.
6,5-7,0	The assistant referee a) showed an excellent performance but made one remarkable mistake (mostly in the field of offside) or b) revealed some smaller weaknesses in different criteria or c) struggled in difficult situations he had to solve.
5,0-6,0	The assistant referee made a) – in the opinion of the observer – too many mistakes or b) made a match decisive or influencing mistake.
1,0-4,5	The assistant referee does not only unfold technical weaknesses but also made a lot of mistakes. His performance was unacceptable.

The assistant referee 1 has been therefore evaluated with the mark:

8.0

Assistant Referee 2

Brief comment on the degree of occupation and difficulty the assistant referee 2 had to cope with. Remarkable situations should be mentioned with reference to the minute [in brackets].

Easy match. No errors concerning off-side or fouls detected.

Overview

Description	Evaluation		
	+	Expected level	-
<i>Positioning and technical aspects</i>			
Good positioning and movement		X	
Adequate alertness, psychological concentration as well as awareness and good cooperation with the referee as long as situations appeared in the AR2's area of responsibility (reaction to incidents within his vicinity)		X	
Efficient positioning and control in difficult circumstances (dead ball, no-look-passes e.g.)		X	
Holds and moves his flag in an adequate way and in accordance with the Laws of the Game (Law 11, FIFA Laws of the Game p. 90/91)		X	
Physical Condition		X	

Description	Evaluation		
	+	Expected level	-
<i>Offside and foul detection</i>			
Correct offside decisions and good application of the "wait and see" technique (+ should be only awarded if the offsides have been very difficult – contrary movements e.g. – or if there has been a large amount of offsides or if certain (one) offside decision(s) has been of great importance for the outcome of the match)		X	
Supports the referee with a good foul detection in his area of responsibility		X	

Remarkable decisions

Minute	Description of the situation and evaluation of the assistant referee's behaviour

Evaluation scale (only full or half points can be given (e.g. 8,0 or 7,5), the observer can take the scale as measure, there is however no need to do so:

Mark	Description
8,5-10,0	The assistant referee showed an excellent performance in all the criteria in a match which challenged him a lot.
7,5-8,0	The assistant referee a) showed an excellent performance in a match that revealed several challenges for the assistant referee or b) made excellent decisions in a not so easy match but showed some room of improvement in technical areas.
6,5-7,0	The assistant referee a) showed an excellent performance but made one remarkable mistake (mostly in the field of offside) or b) revealed some smaller weaknesses in different criteria or c) struggled in difficult situations he had to solve.
5,0-6,0	The assistant referee made a) – in the opinion of the observer – too many mistakes or b) made a match decisive or influencing mistake.
1,0-4,5	The assistant referee does not only unfold technical weaknesses but also made a lot of mistakes. His performance was unacceptable.

The assistant referee 2 has been therefore evaluated with the mark:

8.0

Additional Assistant Referee 1

Brief comment on remarkable situations or circumstances (optional):

Overview

Description	Evaluation		
	+	Expected level	-
Good positioning and movement		X	
Adequate alertness, psychological concentration as well as awareness and good cooperation with the referee		X	
Involvement in the match (did the AAR1 show concentration and the courage to advise the referee concerning situations that appeared in his area of responsibility?)		X	
Quality of the calls he made or which were influenced by his message		X	

The additional assistant referee 1 has been therefore evaluated with the mark (the scale for the assistant referees can be taken as measure):

8.0

Additional Assistant Referee 2

Brief comment on remarkable situations or circumstances (optional):

Overview

Description	Evaluation		
	+	Expected level	-
Good positioning and movement		X	
Adequate alertness, psychological concentration as well as awareness and good cooperation with the referee		X	
Involvement in the match (did the AAR2 show concentration and the courage to advise the referee concerning situations that appeared in his area of responsibility?)		X	
Quality of the calls he made or which were influenced by his message		X	

The additional assistant referee 2 has been therefore evaluated with the mark (the scale for the assistant referees can be taken as measure):

8.0

Fourth Official

Brief comment on remarkable situations or circumstances (optional):

--

Description	Evaluation		
	+	Expected level	-
Concentration and potential involvement in situations	X		
Communication and respectful dealing with coaches and substitutes		X	
Quick and concentrated execution of substitutions and providing of the additional time		X	

The Fourth Official has been therefore evaluated with the mark (the scale for the assistant referees can be taken as measure, expected level should be 8,0):

8.5

Observed by Filipe T. (POR)

Date, Location 15 February 2012, Portugal